

If you view the Full Docket you will be charged for 1 Pages \$0.10

General Docket
United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

Court of Appeals Docket #: 08-50380

Docketed: 09/02/2008

USA v. Roland Colton

Termed: 12/02/2008

Appeal From: U.S. District Court for Southern California, San Diego

Fee Status: Paid

Case Type Information:

- 1) 9a
- 2) Interlocutory
- 3) null

Originating Court Information:

District: 0974-3 : [3:06-CR-02252-W-1](#)

Court Reporter: Melissa Pierson

Trial Judge: Thomas J. Whelan, Senior District Judge

Date Filed: 10/17/2006

Date Order/Judgment:

08/18/2008

Date Order/Judgment EOD:

08/18/2008

Date NOA Filed:

08/27/2008

Date Rec'd COA:

08/29/2008

- 09/02/2008 [1](#) DOCKETED CAUSE AND ENTERED APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL. Filed in District Court on 08/27/2008. Reporters Transcript required: Yes. Sentence imposed: N/A. Transcript shall be ordered by 09/17/2008 for Appellant Roland C. Colton. Transcript shall be filed by 10/17/2008. Appellant's brief and excerpts due by 11/26/2008 for Roland C. Colton. Appellees' brief due 12/26/2008 for United States of America. Appellant's reply brief due by 01/09/2009 for Roland C. Colton. (JN) [Entered: 09/02/2008 10:05 AM]
- 09/12/2008 [2](#) Filed order MOATT: (HS) to show cause.; If appellant does not comply with this order, the appeal may be dismissed without further notice to appellant. (KD) [Entered: 09/12/2008 03:48 PM]
- 10/07/2008 3 Filed Appellant Roland C. Colton motion to show cause why appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. Served on 10/03/2008. (NEW) [Entered: 10/07/2008 02:52 PM]
- 10/20/2008 4 Filed Appellee USA response to Defendant-Appellant's motion regarding jurisdiction. Served on 10/17/2008 (RL) [Entered: 10/21/2008 11:19 AM]
- 12/02/2008 [5](#) Order filed (ALFRED T. GOODWIN, RICHARD R. CLIFTON and CARLOS T. BEA) We have reviewed the response to the court's September 12, 2008 order to show cause, and we conclude we lack jurisdiction over this appeal because the order challenged is not a final judgment and the collateral order doctrine does not apply. See 28 U.S.C. § 1291; cf. United States v. Hickey, 367 F.3d 888 (9th Cir. 2004); United States v. Bhatia, No. 07-10424, 2008 WL 4330554 (9th Cir. Aug. 12, 2008). DISMISSED. (WL) [Entered: 12/02/2008 01:29 PM]
- 12/15/2008 [6](#) Filed (ECF) Appellant Roland C. Colton petition for panel rehearing and petition for rehearing en banc (from 12/02/2008 memorandum). Date of service: 12/15/2008. [6739873] (Warren, Jeremy) [Entered: 12/15/2008 09:47 PM]
- 12/17/2008 7 Incorrect docket entry. (LC) [Entered: 12/17/2008 01:56 PM]
- 02/05/2009 [8](#) Filed order (ALFRED T. GOODWIN, RICHARD R. CLIFTON and CARLOS T. BEA) The petition for panel rehearing and rehearing en banc is construed as a motion for reconsideration and reconsideration en banc. So construed, the motion is denied. See 9th Cir. R. 27-10; 9th Cir. Gen Order 6.11 (motions panel may deny request for reconsideration en banc on behalf of entire court). No further filings shall be accepted in this closed case. (KKW) [Entered: 02/05/2009 01:22 PM]
- 02/17/2009 [10](#) MANDATE ISSUED.(ATG, RRC and CTB) (LC) [Entered: 02/17/2009 03:08 PM]

PACER Service Center

Transaction Receipt

U.S. Court of Appeals for the 9th Circuit - 08/21/2021 17:35:24

PACER Login:	orange20202	Client Code:	
Description:	Case Summary	Search Criteria:	08-50380
Billable Pages:	1	Cost:	0.10